





KOSOVAN PEER LED QUALITY ASSURANCE MODEL

# MUNICIPALITY FEEDBACK FORM

"Support to basic and upper secondary education" Project

What feedback can you give about the school quality assurance process?		
Forming quality assurance teams		
Logistics ( e.g How easy was it to find teachers to be on the quality assurance team?)		
Commendations (What worked well)		
Recommendations (What could be improved?)		
<b>Cost</b> (Does the process have any cost implications for municipalities? E.g Transport expenses.)		
Commendations (What worked well)		
Recommendations (What could be improved?)		
Assigning roles to quality assurance team members		
Commendations (What worked well)		
Recommendations (What could be improved?)		

<b>Documentation</b> Please reflect on the documentation used in the process. How could it be improved?		
Guide to quality assurance		
Commendations (What worked well)		
Recommendations (What could be improved?)		
School Self evaluation form		
Commendations (What worked well)		
Recommendations (What could be improved?)		
School quality assurance team report		
Commendations (What worked well)		
Recommendations (What could be improved?)		
<i>Other documentation</i> (Lesson observation matrix, guide to effective pedagogical practice etc.)		
Commendations (What worked well)		
Recommendations (What could be improved?)		

Other general comments about the process	
Commendations (What worked well)	
Recommendations (What could be improved?)	

What feedback can you give about the school evaluation process?

### Logistics

What logistical issues did the quality assurance team have? Transport, absence from work etc.

Commendations (What worked well)	
Recommendations (What could be improved?)	

#### Evidence

What issues did the team encounter when collecting evidence from.....

Meetings with teachers , parents, student council

Commendations (What worked well)		
Recommendations (What could be improved?)		
Lesson Observations		
Commendations (What worked well)		
Recommendations (What could be improved?)		

Surveys		
Commendations (What worked well)		
Recommendations (What could be improved?)		
Informal conversations		
Commendations (What worked well)		
Recommendations (What could be improved?)		
Documentation		
Commendations (What worked well)		
Recommendations (What could be improved?)		
Personal Development		
In what ways did participating in these peer led quality assurances develop your professional knowledge and skills?		
What did you learn from the evaluation that could help your own professional practice and the professional practice in your school?		

<b>Documentation</b> Please reflect on the documentation used in the process. How could it be improved?		
Guide to quality assurance		
Commendations (What worked well)		
Recommendations (What could be improved?)		
School Self evaluation form		
Commendations (What worked well)		
Recommendations (What could be improved?)		
School quality assurance team report		
Commendations (What worked well)		
Recommendations (What could be improved?)		
<i>Other documentation</i> (Lesson observation matrix, guide to effective pedagogical practice etc.)		
Commendations (What worked well)		
Recommendations (What could be improved?)		

Other general comments about the process	
Commendations (What worked well)	
Recommendations (What could be improved?)	

School Feedback on self-evaluation and peer led quality assurance visit		
What feedback can you give about the schoo	l quality assurance process?	
<b>Logistics</b> (What logistical issues did the school have?) Time to complete process? Difficulties organizing evaluation on multiple sites?		
Commendations (What worked well)		
Recommendations (What could be improved?)		
Evidence What issues did school encounter when collecting evidence from		
Meetings with teachers , parents, student council		
Commendations (What worked well)		
Recommendations (What could be improved?)		
Lesson Observations		
Commendations (What worked well)		
Recommendations (What could be improved?)		

Surveys		
Commendations (What worked well)		
Recommendations (What could be improved?)		
Documentation		
Commendations (What worked well)		
Recommendations (What could be improved?)		
Personal Development		
In what ways did teaching staff develop their professional knowledge and skills as a result of the evaluation?		
What did staff learn from the evaluation process that could their professional practice?		

<b>Documentation</b> Please reflect on the documentation used in the process. How could it be improved?		
Guide to quality assurance		
Commendations (What worked well)		
Recommendations (What could be improved?)		
School Self evaluation form		
Commendations (What worked well)		
Recommendations (What could be improved?)		
School quality assurance team report		
Commendations (What worked well)		
Recommendations (What could be improved?)		
<i>Other documentation</i> (Lesson observation matrix, guide to effective pedagogical practice etc.)		
Commendations (What worked well)		
Recommendations (What could be improved?)		

Other general comments about the process	
Commendations (What worked well)	
Recommendations (What could be improved?)	

Inspectorate of Education Feedback	on peer led quality	assurance visit
------------------------------------	---------------------	-----------------

Please reflect on the documentation used in the process. How could it be improved?

Guide to quality assurance		
Commendations (What worked well)		
Recommendations (What could be improved?)		
School Self evaluation form		
Commendations (What worked well)		
Recommendations (What could be improved?)		
School quality assurance team report		
Commendations (What worked well)		
Recommendations (What could be improved?)		
<i>Other documentation</i> (Lesson observation matrix, guide to effective pedagogical practice etc.)		
Commendations (What worked well)		
Recommendations (What could be improved?)		

Other general comments about the process		
Commendations (What worked well)		
Recommendations (What could be improved?)		







EDUCATIONAL Research Institute

This publication has been produced with the financial support of the European Union. Its contents are the sole responsibility of the KosEd Project and do not necessarily reflect the views of the European Union.